

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/756,095

Applicant(s)

KELKAR ET AL

Examiner

Jonas N. Strickland

Art Unit

1754

All Participants:(1) Jonas N. Strickland.(2) Stuart D. Frenkel (Applicant's Representative).**Status of Application:** New Divisional Application

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2004**Time:** 11:00 AM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 30-32

Prior art documents discussed:


USP 4,686,204; USP 6,129,834; USP 4,973,399

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

The Examiner proposed deleting "optionally" in claim 1, in order to place the instant application in condition for allowance over the cited prior art. Applicant's representative authorized the changes to be made by Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)